

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 422 998

JC 980 392

AUTHOR Bashford, Joanne
TITLE CLAST Status of Associate in Arts Degree Seekers: A Different Perspective on Fulfilling the CLAST Requirement. Information Capsule #97-05C.
INSTITUTION Miami-Dade Community Coll., FL. Office of Institutional Research.
PUB DATE 1997-07-00
NOTE 12p.
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS *Academic Achievement; *Associate Degrees; College Curriculum; Community Colleges; Degree Requirements; Evaluation; Nontraditional Education; Success; *Test Results; Two Year College Students; Two Year Colleges
IDENTIFIERS *College Level Academic Skills Test; Miami Dade Community College FL

ABSTRACT

This information capsule presents the College Level Academic Skills Test (CLAST) status of Associate in Arts degree seekers at Miami-Dade Community College for fall terms 1995 and 1996. Tables present CLAST results by subtest for a cross section of A.A. degree seekers college-wide. For comparative purposes, fall term 1995 statistics (prior to the implementation of the CLAST alternative) are presented with those from fall term 1996. The introduction of the CLAST alternative increased the percentage of students satisfying the CLAST requirement in all categories of cumulative credits earned for each subtest. Overall, 27% of fall 1996 students had satisfied the CLAST requirement, compared with only 14% in fall 1995. For students who had earned 60 credits, 69% of fall 1996 students had satisfied the CLAST requirement, compared with only 53% in fall 1995. These data will form a baseline to assess the effect of implementing recommendations from the CLAST Action Plan. Information on the less successful mathematics results are included with explanations for lower scores. Implications of college-wide test results also are provided. (AS)

* Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made *
* from the original document. *

CLAST Status of Associate in Arts Degree Seekers: A Different Perspective on Fulfilling the CLAST Requirement

Information Capsule #97-05C

Joanne Bashford

Miami-Dade Community College

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

☒ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

☐ Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

• Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS
MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Bashford

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)."



MIAMI-DADE COMMUNITY COLLEGE - INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH

INFORMATION CAPSULE

I.C. No. 97-05C

July 1997

Summary

**CLAST Status of Associate in Arts Degree Seekers:
A Different Perspective on Fulfilling the CLAST Requirement**

Background

This capsule presents the CLAST status of Associate in Arts degree seekers in Fall Terms 1995 and 1996. Students are grouped by the number of college credits completed (exclusive of college preparatory and ESL credits). Overall, 27% of Fall 1996 students had satisfied the CLAST requirement compared to only 14% in Fall 1995. For students who had earned more than 60 credits, 69% of Fall 1996 students had satisfied the CLAST requirement compared to only 53% in Fall 1995. These data will form a baseline to assess the effect of implementing recommendations from the CLAST Action Plan.

College-Wide Results

A College-Wide Ad Hoc Task Force was convened beginning in April 1997 at Miami Dade Community College to assess the progress and success of Associate in Arts degree seeking students at M-DCC in fulfilling the CLAST requirement for graduation. Specifically the Task Force was charged with identifying areas in need of improvement and recommending strategies to address those needs. To accomplish this goal, a different perspective was required to evaluate CLAST test taking patterns and success rates. In the past, pass rates for each subtest were tracked, numbers of CLAST alternatives granted were calculated, and even the effectiveness of specific courses in preparing students for the CLAST was evaluated. The Task Force looked at this information, but also requested data on when (or at what point in their college careers) students were taking the CLAST or satisfying the CLAST requirement with an alternative or waiver.

The attached tables present CLAST results by subtest for a cross section of A.A. degree seekers college-wide. For comparison, Fall Term 1995 (prior to the implementation of the CLAST alternative) is presented in addition to Fall Term 1996. The introduction of the CLAST alternatives increased the percentage of students satisfying the CLAST requirement in all categories of cumulative credits earned for each subtest. For the Reading subtest 5% of students with 30 or fewer credits in Fall Term 1996 had met the requirement compared with 0% for Fall Term 1995. Looking at results for the total enrollment, 26% in Fall Term 1996 compared with 13% in Fall Term 1995 had met the CLAST requirement in Reading.

For the Mathematics subtest the results are somewhat less impressive since M-DCC students are more likely to earn an alternative in the communications subtests than the mathematics. For Fall Term 1996, 1% of students with 30 or fewer credits had met the CLAST requirement for mathematics compared with 0% for Fall Term 1995. Of the total enrollment 15% of students enrolled Fall Term 1996 met the requirement compared with 11% of students enrolled Fall Term 1995.

The results suggest that more than half of M-DCC students postpone taking the CLAST until they have accumulated over 50 credits toward their degree. For Fall Term 1996 only 43% of students had satisfied the Reading subtest of the CLAST requirement by passing the test or earning an alternative before the 51st credit. For the same group, 52% had not yet taken the test. Similar results can be seen for the English Language Skills, Essay and Mathematics subtests. For all subtests, over 70% of students enrolled Fall Term 1996 had not yet taken the CLAST and at least one in five students with more than 60 credits had not yet taken the test.

Implications

The test taking patterns identified in the results are undesirable for a number of reasons. Students wait until they are ready to graduate to take the CLAST and place an enormous amount of pressure on themselves to pass the test on the first attempt since it is the only remaining hurdle before graduation. It is also likely that an inordinate amount of time has elapsed between completion of relevant coursework and the CLAST. To increase our graduation rate we must improve the odds of M-DCC students meeting the CLAST requirement by the time they complete the required coursework for the A.A. degree.

The Task Force developed strategies and procedures to encourage (require when necessary) students to take the CLAST immediately following the relevant coursework if they have not earned a CLAST alternative. Additional recommendations relate to the inclusion of CLAST competencies in the curriculum, requiring enrollment in mathematics courses earlier in course sequencing (similar to the English requirement), requiring practice CLAST tests in specific courses, and providing feedback to faculty on the success of their students on the CLAST. The impact of these recommendations should be apparent in future cross-sectional CLAST results.

Joanne Bashford:ab

Table 1
COLLEGE-WIDE
CLAST STATUS FOR A CROSS SECTION OF A.A. DEGREE SEEKERS
ESSAY SUBTEST
Fall Term 1995 Compared to Fall Term 1996

CLAST Status	Cumulative Credits Earned*										Total	
	30 or Fewer	31 to 40		41 to 50		51 to 60		61 to 70		71 or more	Fall 95	Fall 96
	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96
Passed Test	42	51	137	109	370	218	838	599	1,401	1,001	1,916	1,430
Percent	0%	0%	4%	4%	12%	8%	29%	22%	54%	40%	53%	46%
											14%	10%
Waiver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Alternative	0	869	0	941	0	1,044	0	958	0	772	0	637
Percent	0%	5%	0%	30%	0%	37%	0%	36%	0%	31%	0%	21%
											0%	16%
Satisfied CLAST	42	920	137	1,050	370	1,262	838	1,557	1,401	1,773	1,916	2,067
Essay Percent	0%	5%	4%	34%	12%	45%	29%	58%	54%	72%	53%	67%
											14%	27%
Failed Test	22	20	52	56	119	96	246	175	270	191	456	274
Percent	0%	0%	2%	2%	4%	3%	8%	7%	10%	8%	13%	9%
											4%	2%
Not Tested	17,738	17,408	3,104	2,007	2,526	1,467	1,830	932	940	511	1,222	740
Percent	100%	95%	94%	64%	84%	52%	63%	35%	36%	21%	34%	24%
(Tested by 96-1)	(3,044)		(1,568)		(1,531)		(1,160)		(609)		(617)	
											(8,529)	
Total Group	17,802	18,348	3,293	3,113	3,015	2,825	2,914	2,664	2,611	2,475	3,594	3,081
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
											100%	100%
											33,229	32,506

*Excluding College Preparatory and ESL.
Data Source: Special IRS40 File (mdcc.hal.cmm.clast.profile)

Table 2
COLLEGE-WIDE
CLAST STATUS FOR A CROSS SECTION OF A.A. DEGREE SEEKERS
ENGLISH LANGUAGE SKILLS
 Fall Term 1995 Compared to Fall Term 1996

CLAST Status	Cumulative Credits Earned*														Total	
	30 or Fewer		31 to 40		41 to 50		51 to 60		61 to 70		71 or more					
	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96
Passed Test	35	35	101	77	265	161	649	472	1,179	834	1,799	1,312	4,028	2,891		
Percent	0%	0%	3%	2%	9%	6%	22%	18%	45%	34%	50%	43%	12%	9%		
Waiver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Alternative	0	872	0	945	0	1,056	0	1,010	0	841	0	682	0	5,406		
Percent	0%	5%	0%	30%	0%	37%	0%	38%	0%	34%	0%	22%	0%	17%		
Satisfied CLAST	35	907	101	1,022	265	1,217	649	1,482	1,180	1,675	1,799	1,994	4,029	8,297		
ELS Percent	0%	5%	3%	33%	9%	43%	22%	56%	45%	68%	50%	65%	12%	26%		
Failed Test	29	34	88	84	224	141	436	250	492	290	573	347	1,842	1,146		
Percent	0%	0%	3%	3%	7%	5%	15%	9%	19%	12%	16%	11%	6%	4%		
Not Tested	17,738	17,407	3,104	2,007	2,526	1,467	1,829	932	939	510	1,222	740	27,358	23,063		
Percent	100%	95%	94%	64%	84%	52%	63%	35%	36%	21%	34%	24%	82%	71%		
(Tested by 96-1)	(3,044)		(1,568)		(1,531)		(1,160)		(607)		(617)		(8,527)			
Total Group	17,802	18,348	3,293	3,113	3,015	2,825	2,914	2,664	2,611	2,475	3,594	3,081	33,229	32,506		
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		

*Excluding College Preparatory and ESL.

Data Source: Special IRS40 File (mdcc.hal.cmm.clast.profile)

Table 3
COLLEGE-WIDE
CLAST STATUS FOR A CROSS SECTION OF A.A. DEGREE SEEKERS
READING SUBTEST
Fall Term 1995 Compared to Fall Term 1996

Cumulative Credits Earned*															
CLAST Status		30 or Fewer		31 to 40		41 to 50		51 to 60		61 to 70		71 or more		Total	
		Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96	Fall 95	Fall 96
Passed Test	36	34	110	72	298	169	692	480	1,238	865	1,818	1,304	4,192	2,924	
Percent	0%	0%	3%	2%	10%	6%	24%	18%	47%	35%	51%	42%	13%	9%	
Waiver	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Alternative	0	872	0	951	0	1,057	0	990	0	822	0	694	0	5,386	
Percent	0%	5%	0%	31%	0%	37%	0%	37%	0%	33%	0%	23%	0%	17%	
Satisfied CLAST	36	906	110	1,023	288	1,228	692	1,470	1,238	1,687	1,818	1,999	4,192	8,311	
Reading Percent	0%	5%	3%	33%	10%	43%	24%	55%	47%	68%	51%	65%	13%	26%	
Failed Test	29	35	79	83	191	132	393	262	434	278	555	342	1,681	1,132	
Percent	0%	0%	2%	3%	6%	5%	13%	10%	17%	11%	15%	11%	5%	3%	
Not Tested	17,737	17,407	3,104	2,007	2,526	1,467	1,829	932	939	510	1,221	740	27,356	23,063	
Percent	100%	95%	94%	64%	84%	52%	63%	35%	36%	21%	34%	24%	82%	71%	
(Tested by 96-1)	(3,044)		(1,568)		(1,531)		(1,160)		(607)		(617)		(8,527)		
Total Group	17,802	18,348	3,293	3,113	3,015	2,825	2,914	2,664	2,611	2,475	3,594	3,081	33,229	32,506	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

*Excluding College Preparatory and ESL.

Data Source: Special IRS40 File (mdcc.hal.cmm.clast.profile)



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ERIC

56 980392

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: CLAST Status of Associate in Arts Degree Seekers: A Different Perspective on Fulfilling the CLAST Requirement Information Capsule # 97-05C	
Author(s): Joanne Bashford	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date: July 1997

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic/optical media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) or other ERIC vendors. Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following two options and sign at the bottom of the page.

XX



Check here
For Level 1 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL
HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 1

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS
MATERIAL IN OTHER THAN PAPER
COPY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

Level 2



Check here
For Level 2 Release:
Permitting reproduction in microfiche (4" x 6" film) or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic or optical), but *not* in paper copy.

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits. If permission to reproduce is granted, but neither box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

"I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic/optical media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries."

Sign
here→
please

Signature: 	Printed Name/Position/Title: Joanne Bashford, Director of Test Administration	
Organization/Address: Institutional Research Miami-Dade Community College 300 NE 2nd Avenue - Room 5601 Miami FL 33132	Telephone: (305) 237-7488	FAX: (305) 237-7496
	E-Mail Address: jbash@mdcc.edu	Date: 6/17/98

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:	Rika Nakazawa, Acquisitions Coordinator ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges 3051 Moore Hall Box 951521 Los Angeles, CA 90095-1521
---	---

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to: